



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/345,195	06/30/1999	BENOIT JULES JURION	13237-2425	13237-2425 9936	
27488	7590 05/02/2003				
MERCHANT & GOULD			EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			SINGH, RA	SINGH, RACHNA	
	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2176		
			DATE MAILED: 05/02/2003	4.1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/345,195	JURION ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rachna Singh	2176				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailinearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. 5 from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31	<u>March 2003</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Tr	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.						
<u> </u>	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
<u> </u>	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Appl	lication No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is responsive to communications: amendment, filed 03/31/03;
- 2. Claims 1-18 are pending in the case. Claims 1, 14, 16, 17, and 18 are independent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 6-15, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Sun</u>, US Patent 5,802,482, 09/01/98.

In reference to independent claim 1, Sun teaches a method and system for processing graphic language characters comprising the following steps:

- -Receiving a character from a file system or keyboard of a foreign language with various combination rules. See figure 6 and columns 1-2. Compare to "receiving a first character of a complex character".
- -Determining if the character is part of a valid sequence according to the combining rules of a foreign language. See column 1-2 and figure 6. Compare to "determining whether the first character may begin a valid sequence of characters for forming a complex character according to the rules associated with the selected language".

Art Unit: 2176

-Outputting in a display one or more character strings in accordance with said layout field. See column 8. Compare to "if the first character may begin a valid sequence of character for forming a complex character according to rules associated with the selected language, accepting the first character for display".

-If the character forms an invalid sequence, signaling an error and prompting the user for new input. See figure 6. Compare to "if the first character may not begin a valid sequence. . .prohibiting accepting the first character for display".

Sun differs from the claimed invention in that he initially stores the characters in a header and stores the data structure in memory which is later retrieved by the output device for display; however, he still determines if the display is part of a valid sequence, thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to display characters as they are validated as illustrated by Sun.

In reference to claim 2, Sun teaches receiving a series of inputs and determining whether the append to the previous characters following language rules (combination rules). See figure 6. The process taught in reference to claim 1, can be carried out multiple times as characters are appended to the complex character. See figure 6 and columns 1-2.

In reference to claim 6, Sun teaches appending a character to a sequence if it is valid in order to form a graphic language character (complex character) in languages such as Japanese and Thai. See figure 1 and columns 1-2.

In reference to claim 7, Sun teaches prohibiting invalid characters and appending valid characters. See figure 6 and columns 1-2.

Art Unit: 2176

In reference to claims 8 and 10, Sun teaches the addition of a simple character to form a complex character. See columns 1-2.

In reference to claim 9, Sun's method allows the user to enter multiple characters for appending to the next. Thus a first character could comprise of two or more characters. See columns 1-2 and figure 6.

In reference to claims 11-13, Sun teaches the system for use in languages such as Thai, Vietnamese, and various other foreign languages. See columns 1-2.

Claim 14 is rejected under the same rationale as claim 1 above.

In reference to claim 15, Sun teaches identifying whether the sequence has ended and if it has the system generates a header and stores it in memory, thus prohibiting the display of any other character. See figure 6.

Claim 18 is rejected under the same rationale used in claim 2 above.

5. Claims 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sun, US Patent 5,802,482, 09/01/98 in view of Hetherington et al., US Patent 6,411,948, 6/25/02 (filed 12/15/98).

In reference to claim 16, Sun discloses processing a character entered by a user. Sun does not teach a method of displaying the character even if it is not associated with the selected language; however, Hetherington does. Hetherington teaches that if the character is not a valid character of the language, the input method editor will display the character and wait for an additional character to be added. See columns 15-16 and figures 5a-5f. The rest of claim 16 is rejected under the same rationale used in claim 1 above. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

Art Unit: 2176

invention to combine Sun's method of validating character appendages to a sequence of characters with Hetherington's system for displaying the character even when it is not associated with the language since both Sun and Hetherington are concerned with the proper construction of a complex character.

In reference to claim 17, Sun teaches utilizing combination rules of a language when constructing a sequence of characters. Sun's system determines if each character is a valid sequence. Each input is considered as the "last" character in the sequence and it's validity towards the sequence is considered. See columns 1-2 and figure 6. If the sequence is a valid word, the system terminates the process. Sun does not teach adding one character at a time if the character does not comprise a valid sequence; however, Hetherington does. Hetherington teaches continuously adding characters even if it is a not a valid. Hetherington's method involves a process that proceeds upon a character being entered (input character) and determines whether the input character in combination with the other characters forms a valid sequence. If the characters do not, it waits for a new character until a valid sequence is made. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Sun's method of validating character appendages to a sequence of characters with Hetherington's system for displaying the character even when it is not associated with the language since both Sun and Hetherington are concerned with the proper construction of a complex character.

Art Unit: 2176

6. Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Sun</u>, US Patent 5,802,482, 09/01/98 in view of <u>Hetherington</u> (hereafter referred to as Hetherington2), US Patent 6,272,495, 8/7/01 (filed 4/22/98).

In reference to claim 3, Sun does not disclose a state transition table in which a state is assigned to the characters according to the rules; however, Hetherington2 discloses a state transition table in which various grammar rules are given a state number. See figure 18. Hetherington2 teaches regular expression definitions which define the structure of a system. For example, a word may consist of 2 or more alphabetic characters. The structure of the regular expression definition is a state transition table. See figure 18. Hetherington2 teaches that grammar files are often used to define all possible text objects in a natural language processing environment. See columns 23-25. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate a state transition table as disclosed by Hetherington2 in the system of Sun in which characters are assigned certain states in order to determine if appending a character to a string makes a valid sequence since it was well known in the art to utilized state transition tables for grammatical rules (see Hetherington2, column 24).

In reference to claim 4, Sun's system determines whether the entered characters correspond to an ideograph. If not, then the process waits for further character input; otherwise it presents matching ideographs to the user. See columns 1-2 and figure 6. The data entry can be initiated again for the user to enter new characters to begin a second sequence of characters. See figure 6.

Art Unit: 2176

In reference to claim 5, Sun's system does not indicate the use of a state transition table to determine if the second state points to a third transition state representing a reset transition action; however, as Hetherington2 teaches the use of a transition state table in which an action can be terminated upon coming to the end of a text object. See figure 18. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate Hetherington2's state transition table into the system of Sun since they both deal with the rules governing languages and their characters.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US Patent 5,873,111

Edberg

5/10/96

Bishop, F. Avery, David C. Brown, and David M. Meltzer, "Supporting Multilanguage Text Layout and Complex Scripts with Windows 2000", November 1998, Microsoft Systems Journal, available:

http://www.microsoft.com/typography/developers/uniscribe/intro.htm.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rachna Singh at 703.305.1952. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon, can be reached at 703.308.5186.

Art Unit: 2176

Page 8

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is 703.305.3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

After-Final 703.746.7238
Official 703.746.7239
Non-Official/Draft .703.746.7240

Hand-Delivered responses should be brought to Crystal park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Rachna Singh April 21, 2003

HEATHER R. HERNDON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100